

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,201	02/23/2004	Hideo Saito	ACO 366	8826
50488	7590 08/01/2005		EXAMINER	
ALLEMAN HALL MCCOY RUSSELL & TUTTLE LLP			PAPE, JOSEPH	
806 SW BRC	DADWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600			ARTONII	TALLK NOMBER
PORTLAND	, OR 97205-3335		3612	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	N		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/785,201	SAITO ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph D. Pape	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 14 and 27-29. Claim(s) objected to: 5 and 23-25. Claim(s) rejected: 4,6,21 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		, io Dolott of allast			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: ____.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Joseph D. Pape Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 4 as well as new claim 30 constitute such new issues..